UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

COPY MAILED

NOV 2 4 2004

In re Application of

M. Gregory Steinthal, et al.

Application No. 10/655,228

Filed: September 3, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 022420-000110US

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 21, 2004, to revive the above-identified application. This is also a decision in response to a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed September 21, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional application set forth in the concurrently filed Application Data Sheet (ADS).

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely respond to a Notice to File Missing Parts mailed November 25, 2003, requiring an executed oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.63 and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.16(e). On September 21, 2004, the present petition was filed, along with the requisite declaration and surcharge.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is **GRANTED**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in $\S 1.17(t)$; and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on September 3, 2003, within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/408,186, which was filed on September 3, 2002, for which priority is claimed. A reference to the priorfiled provisional application has been included in an ADS.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim for priority herein is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed provisional application was submitted during the pendency of the nonprovisional application for which the benefit is sought. See 35 U.S.C. §119(e). Accordingly, having found that the instant petition satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e), the petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim of benefit to prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/408,186 is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the priorfiled application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Sherry D. Brinkley at (571) 272-3204.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 2872 for consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/408,186.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

Karen O. Creasy Karen O. Creasy Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy